



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/203,965	12/02/1998	GENE W. LEE	DAVOX-159XX	4873	
28452	7590 04/08/2004	EX		AMINER	
BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 835 HANOVER STREET			DEANE JR,	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
SUITE 303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ER, NH 03104		2642	19	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
- Advisory Action	09/203,965	LEE, GENE W.
a	Examiner	Art Unit
· .	William J Deane	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE PROPERTY FILED 11 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE PLAC	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		₹′
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :	
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: None.	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2,4-7, 9-15 and 17-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>
10. Other:		William Sh
	·	WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Final. Arguments in Response after final were similar to arguments considered earlier. The Final stands...